## DRAFT MINUTES to be approved at the next meeting on the 7 March 2016

## KENN PARISH COUNCIL

Page 129

**MINUTES** of the SPECIAL meeting of Kenn Parish Council, Monday, 25 January 2016 held in the New Church Room, Kenn Street, Kenn. Meeting commenced at 9:15 and concluded at 9:45

PRESENT: Cllr S Naish (Chairman) in the Chair, Cllrs S Brain, W Bessant, J-P Humberstone,

C Quinn, H Staples, R Treble Clerk Eleanor Wade

9:15 Informal Formal Business None 9:55 Formal Business

16/23 Apologies for Absence None 16/24 Declarations of Interest None

## 16/25 West of England Joint Spatial Plan: Issues & Options

Members discussed various aspects of concern and possible outcomes/requirements.

**Resolved:** comments of Kenn Parish Council are as follows to consultation questions:

- Q4: With regard to proposed housing developments, consideration should be given to the firm conviction that existing communities must be able to retain their own identities – it is essential that towns and/or villages do not merge into each other by the spread of large building developments.
   Care should be taken to ensure sites for housing development are incorporated within the existing
  - care should be taken to ensure sites for housing development are incorporated within the existing community's town boundary and village fence not isolated onto sites away from the centres and amenities, services and infrastructure.
- Q6: For any proposed developments it should be noted with concern that existing properties are finding it
  increasingly difficult to source affordable home (or business) insurance in areas identified by the
  Environment Agency Flood Map. Proposals in such areas at risk should therefore take this into account
  within such aspects as their house design and the management of consequential runoff of water from
  surfaces etc.
- <u>Q8</u>: Employment sites offering various sizes and types of units should be integrated across the whole area in an effort to reduce commuting and reduce/shorten journeys to work.
- Q9 & 11: For larger sites in existing urban areas there should be a complete and full review of the effect on, or adequacy of, existing infrastructure to support the development before it is given permission to proceed including such issues as co-ordinated public transport, integrated or nearby local employment sites, schools provision and playgrounds/public open space, health and social services, broadband (fiberto-the-premises), and provision of planned (not piecemeal) highways; roads and footpath networks. Also clear negotiations with the developers that they are required to provide site-specific facilities through S106 or CIL contributions.
- Q14: For new settlements (wherever they are to be located) the infrastructure will be non-existent, therefore there must be full consultation with all the service providers about how it is intended to address these social needs <u>before</u> people start moving into the homes. Also clear negotiations with the developers that they are required to provide site-specific facilities through S106 or CIL contributions.

## 16/26 West of England Joint Spatial Plan: Transport Study

**Resolved:** Kenn Parish Council comments are as follows, to be made as appropriate to various aspects of the consultation:

- Where there has been, or it is proposed to, upgrade country/rural roads; because this is removing traffic from main routes the quality of the upgrade must be sufficient to accommodate the increased volume.
- For safety; support pinch points and/or chicanes being incorporated on any upgraded country/rural roads to discourage speeding.
- More cycle and pedestrian routes should be created.
- At locations where people leave their vehicles to access public transport (busses, trains etc) there should be appropriate parking provision to avoid/address the impact caused by on-street parking in residential areas. Furthermore, charging for the use of these car parks to access public transport is disadvantages and against policy.
- Any changes to public transport provision, in particular bus services/routes, can have an adverse effect on peoples' employment options in rural areas.
- It is becoming imperative that the impact of both increased traffic from large scale developments (existing and proposed) in rural areas and urban areas is reviewed and addressed, also the impact of traffic coming off motorways to access local routes.

16/27	Sites & Policies Plan Part 1: Development, Management Policies - Correction to MM10
	Due to a minor error in the wording of MM10 relating to Policy DM9: Trees, which has now been
	corrected. Resolved: No comments.

APPROVED AS A TRUE RECORD
Signed by the Chairman
Tate .